

Young Driver Crashes in the US: Matching a Solution to the Nature of the Problem

Robert Foss, PhD

University of North Carolina – Chapel Hill

Young Drivers: The Road Ahead Tel Aviv, Israel November 15, 2011

Preview

Size & nature of YD crash problem

- > A matched solution GDL
- > Effect on crashes
- Parent & teen reactions
- > Talking/thinking about GDL clearly

Cause of Death United States, Ages 15 - 17



Source: CDC, 2009

Child MV Occupant Fatalities by Age – U.S.



CDC WISQARS: 2002-06

U.S. Crash Rates by Driver Age



Source: A.F. Williams, Journal of Safety Research 34 (2003) 5-15

U.S. Crash Rates by Driver Age



Source: A.F. Williams, Journal of Safety Research 34 (2003) 5-15

U.S. Crash Rates by Driver Age



Victim role in teen driver (15-17) fatal crashes - U.S., 1998 - 2007



U.S. 1998 - 2007

First crash rate, by months licensed North Carolina (pre-GDL)



Source: Masten & Foss, 2010

Learning Curve



Experience

Nature of Human Behavior

Kahneman (2011)

THINKING, FASTAND SLOW DANIEL KAHNEMAN

"Dual process" functioning

System 1 (Intuitive) > Fast, automatic, effortless > Difficult to control or modify \succ System 2 (Deliberate reasoning) > Slower, controlled, effortful > 2 monitors 1 > But poorly – allowing many incorrect intuitions to be expressed > Experience \rightarrow correct intuitive action > Driving largely intuitive

Graduated Driver Licensing The Principle:

Maximize Experience Minimize Risks Among all novice drivers

To implement GDL principle:

> 1st Stage - Learner

Supervised driving only (preferably 12 mo.)

- > Appropriate variety & amount for learning
- > 2nd Stage Intermediate (~ 6 months)
 > Night driving restriction (9 p.m. 5 a.m.)
 > Passenger restriction (≤ 1 teen passenger)
- > 3rd Stage Full License
 - > Age-based limits continue (e.g., 0% BAC)

Increased Risk of Driver Death by Number of Passengers and Driver Age







*per 10 million trips

Source: Chen et al., JAMA, 2000

Temporal distribution of 16-year-old driver nighttime crashes, NC (Pre-GDL)



GDL: Maximize experience, minimize risk

> Mandatory supervision period (6-12 mos.)

> Protective restrictions during intermediate

- > Night limit
- > Young passenger limit

Benefits of accompanied driving

- > Extremely safe learning environment
 - > Co-driver
 - > Controls adolescent impulsiveness
 - Parents observe slow learning
- > Experiential learning
 - > Vehicle
 - > Roadway environment
 - > Other drivers

Need for restricted driving period

- Shortcomings of accompanied driving
 - > Co-driver
 - Controls adolescent impulsiveness
- > Much yet to learn
 - > Continue GDL principle
 - Limit high risk exposure while learning
 - > Nighttime
 - > Multiple passengers
 - > Others? (e.g., cell phone restriction)

GDL Effectiveness 27 Studies (2002-2007)

- > 21 single-state studies
 > 20 found crash reductions
 > Generally from 19-39%
 > Varied methods & target age groups
 > Effects larger for 16 yr-olds
 > More comprehensive = greater effects
- 6 multi-state studies
 > All show decrease in teen crashes
 > Many focused only on 16-yr-olds
 > More comprehensive = greater effects

Effects of GDL in North Carolina

North Carolina GDL System

Level 1: 12 months supervised driving
 Begin ≥ age 15 (after passing Driver Ed.)
 No specified # of practice hours

Level 2: 6 months restricted
 > Only supervised driving from 9 p.m. - 5 a.m.
 > ≤ 1 passenger under 21

Level 3: Until age 18

- Zero BAC
- > All occupants wear seatbelt

Crash Rate Ratios for 16 & 17 year-olds vs. 25-54 year-old, NC 1991-2003



16 year-old nighttime (9 pm – 5 am) crashes NC,1991-2004



Percent of 16 year-old crashes involving multiple passengers - NC,1991-2004



Parent & Teen opinions

Parents' overall opinion of GDL North Carolina



Parent opinions of 12 month learner period North Carolina



Parents opinion of 6 month, 9 p.m. night driving restriction North Carolina



Teens' opinion of 6 month, 9 p.m. nightdriving restrictionNorth Carolina



Teens' overall opinion of GDL North Carolina



Do you feel comfortable that your teen has had enough practice doing the following?



Has helping your teen learn to drive had any of the following effects?



Similar support in most jurisdictions

- > Highly positive before adoption
- More supportive after
- > Passenger limits extremely popular
- Night limits widely supported
- Somewhat less in highly rural states

Economic Considerations

In 2006, U.S. teenage (15-17) driver crashes cost:

\$34,413,000,000

Source: AAA

Conclusions

Lack of experience is the culprit
GDL works (as well as it's structured)
Parents highly approve GDL (elements)
Teens generally approve GDL
Compliance quite good (with little enf.)

Appropriate Framing

 > GDL is a licensing system, not a law
 > Addresses *the* major health issue
 > Protects teenagers ... and others on road
 > Supports parents, they like it Mistaken notions, misleading words Avoid saying or thinking these!

- > Curfew
- Getting tough, cracking down
- > Punishment
- > Irresponsible teens
- > Teens think they're invincible



Thank you!